PSSR Form Documentation Assessment

PREPARED FOR:

ROBERT PETERSON | COMPANY ABC

Table of Contents

Contents

FRONTLINE
DATA SOLUTIONS

About this Assessment

This EHS Documentation Assessment reviews the form you provided to evaluate how clearly it guides the intended user, how consistently it captures key information, and how well it supports follow-up actions and documentation. The focus is on form design and usability (for paper or digital workflows), including completeness, accountability, clarity of outcomes, and the likelihood that the information collected can be used later for audits, reporting, and continuous improvement.

This assessment is based only on the form submitted and what can be inferred from its structure and instructions. Because we are not observing your full program, there are important limitations to keep in mind:

- We do not see related forms that work in tandem with this one (for example, corrective action logs, work orders, permits, training records, inspection schedules, or incident reporting workflows).
- We do not know how your team completes, reviews, and stores the form in practice (including informal steps, approvals, and follow-ups that happen outside the document).
- If the form is paper-based or a static PDF, we cannot assess system behaviors such as required-field enforcement, validation rules, conditional logic, notifications, or submission gating that might exist in your digital tools.
- We cannot evaluate whether the form content is "right" for your specific hazards, equipment, or regulatory obligations without broader context on your operations and risk profile.

Use this assessment as a practical lens on form effectiveness and consider it alongside the surrounding processes and records that make the form successful in real-world use.

FRONTLINE
DATA SOLUTIONS

Overall Assessment Summary

The provided form, **Appendix H – Pre Startup Safety Review (PSSR)**, demonstrates a strong procedural foundation and clear alignment with Process Safety Management (PSM) principles. It clearly articulates purpose, scope, applicability, and responsibilities, and it reflects regulatory intent for pre-startup verification. However, from a *form quality* perspective, it functions more as a procedural document than a frontline intake form. Critical execution, accountability, data capture, and audit-readiness elements are either implied or deferred to external documents, which limits operational effectiveness, consistency, and traceability when used as an intake or execution tool.

Form Structure & Clarity

Observations

- The document clearly defines Purpose, Scope, Applicability, Responsibilities, and Requirements.
- Language is aligned with OSHA PSM expectations and internal governance.
- Distinction between Long Form and Short Form PSSR is introduced.
- Roles such as Team Leader and Team Members are well described at a policy level.

Gaps

- The document is not structured as a fillable or executable form despite being referred to as a checklist.
- No defined sections for required inputs (e.g., dates, facility, equipment ID, MOC number).
- No visual separation between guidance text and actionable checklist items.
- Long narrative sections increase cognitive load for frontline users.

Impact

- Users may interpret requirements inconsistently.
- Increases reliance on tribal knowledge or external instructions.
- Reduces efficiency and increases the risk of incomplete or inconsistent PSSR execution.

FRONTLINE
DATA SOLUTIONS

Data Capture & Completeness

Observations

- References all critical PSSR elements: construction, procedures, PHA, MOC, training, PSI updates.
- Acknowledges documentation retention requirements.

Gaps

- No explicit fields to capture:
  - Verification status (Yes/No/N/A)
  - Comments or deficiencies
  - Required corrective actions
  - Responsible owner
  - Due dates
- No structured linkage to specific PHA or MOC identifiers.
- Training verification is stated but not captured.

Impact

- Weak audit trail for regulators or internal audits.
- Difficult to demonstrate compliance with 29 CFR 1910.119(i).
- Action items may be missed or unmanaged prior to startup.

FRONTLINE
DATA SOLUTIONS

Accountability & Approval Controls

Observations

- Accountability is clearly assigned at the leadership level.
- Approval prior to startup is emphasized.

Gaps

- No signature blocks for:
    - PSSR Team Leader
    - Team Members
    - Authorizing Manager
- No formal approval workflow or evidence of sign-off completion.
- No differentiation between pre-startup vs. post-startup items within the form.

Impact

- Startup authorization may occur without documented verification.
- Increased exposure during incident investigations or regulatory reviews.
- Difficult to prove that required approvals occurred *before* startup.

FRONTLINE
DATA SOLUTIONS

Usability for Frontline & Cross-Functional Teams

Observations

- Recognizes the need for cross-functional participation.
- Allows flexibility in who can serve as Team Leader based on complexity.

Gaps

- Not optimized for frontline or mobile use.
- No conditional logic based on change type or PSSR level.
- Complex changes rely on procedural rules rather than guided form behavior.

Impact

- Higher likelihood of incomplete forms in real-world use.
- Inconsistent execution across sites and teams.
- Slower PSSR completion and increased startup delays.

FRONTLINE
DATA SOLUTIONS

Workflow & Actionability

Observations

- Strong conceptual linkage to MOC, PHA, PSI, SOPs, and Training.
- Correctly positions PSSR as a final verification step.

Gaps

- No embedded mechanism to reference or validate completion of upstream requirements.
- No confirmation that linked documents are current and approved.
- Dependencies are described but not enforced within the form.

Impact

- PSSR may be treated as a "check-the-box" exercise.
- Critical upstream gaps may not be identified prior to startup.
- Increased process safety risk during initial operation.

FRONTLINE
DATA SOLUTIONS

Recommendations for Improvement

Convert the Document Into a True Execution Form

- Separate policy/procedure content from the operational checklist.
- Present checklist items as structured questions with required responses.

Standardize Required Data Fields

- Add fields for facility, process, equipment, MOC number, PSSR type, and startup date.
- Require Yes/No/N/A responses with mandatory comments for "No" answers.

Embed Action Item Management

- Automatically generate action items for unmet requirements.
- Require assignment, due dates, and closure verification before startup.

Strengthen Approval & Sign-Off Controls

- Add formal sign-off sections for all required roles.
- Clearly distinguish pre-startup approvals from post-startup follow-ups.

Improve Usability & Consistency

- Use conditional logic to tailor questions based on PSSR complexity.
- Design for mobile and frontline execution to reduce friction.

FRONTLINE
DATA SOLUTIONS

How Frontline Software Can Improve This Form

Frontline helps transform documents like this PSSR from static procedures into executable, auditable, and user-friendly workflows. Instead of relying on interpretation and manual follow-up, Frontline operationalizes intent.

- Converts PSSR checklists into guided, role-based digital forms with required responses
- Enforces completion of MOC, PHA, training, and PSI prerequisites before startup approval
- Automatically generates and tracks action items tied directly to unmet PSSR requirements
- Provides real-time visibility for managers into PSSR readiness and approval status
- Creates a defensible audit trail that clearly demonstrates compliance and due diligence

The result is faster startups, fewer gaps, stronger compliance, and reduced process safety risk—without increasing complexity for frontline teams.

FRONTLINE
DATA SOLUTIONS

Let's Talk.

Contact Frontline today to learn how you can save time and reduce the overall cost of compliance while improving safety outcomes for your operations.

sales@fldata.com

+1 (281) 313-8200

www.fldata.com

FRONTLINE
DATA SOLUTIONS